

Date: Sun, 16 May 93 19:00:23 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #146
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 16 May 93 Volume 93 : Issue 146

Today's Topics:

 Morse et cetera... (2 msgs)
 No-Code Stupidity (2 msgs)
 uk.radio.amateur newsgroup? (2 msgs)
 Welcome to rec.radio.info!

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sun, 16 May 93 00:09:19 CDT
From: usc!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Morse et cetera...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

system@garlic.sbs.com (Tony Pelliccio) writes:

> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:
>
> > Isn't it funny how this has degenerated to a code versus no-code debate?
> > I mean, come on now, folks. We now have a no-code license (Codeless
> > Technician), and those who can't or won't learn to code now have a door
> > in which to enter the hobby. So, it seems to me to be a moot point.
> >
> > --Robert WA3J
>
> But it's not a moot point when no-code techs who don't want to learn the
> code scream and bitch about not having access to the HF bands. That's

> the real issue.

>

> Tony

You know, Tony, I'm sick of listening to that crap. If they can't live with a Codeless Tech license, or can't upgrade beyond that, my advice is to go find another hobby. Upgrading is not a difficult task, but it does require effort. Should we lower the standards (which has already been done on more than one occassion within the last few years) because a small percentage of licensees (or potential licensees) are too lazy or ignorant to master the code? I'm sorry, but I just don't buy that.

--Robert

Date: Mon, 17 May 1993 01:06:30 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!news.ucdavis.edu!othello.ucdavis.edu!
ez006683@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Morse et cetera...

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:

: ez006683@othello.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd) writes:

: >

: > Actually Robert the point isn't moot. There are some on the net who would

: > like to see the code requirements lowered for the higher class licenses.

: > And there are those who would like to see the requirements changed in the

: > opposite way. as far as I know the discussion is not whether or not we

: > have a codeless license but rather should we change our license system.

: >

: > Dan

: >

:

: Is it that the Codeless Technician is not satisfactory to these

: individuals? It seems to me that the current privileges conferred by this

[Same argument duplicated three times with slight variation deleted]

I'll type it real slowly for you this time, maybe you'll understand ;-)

It is not that all these people want to give more privileges to the technician class license!!! The discussion is if we should try to change the licensing requirements for higher license classes!! Most of the people aren't arguing about the current technician class structure. The discussion relates to other classes (ie. General, Advanced and Extra).

: However, the code test is unique: all the answers to the test are sent,

: word for word, to the examinee. All he has to do is write them down.

This is simply not true. Most VE's especially ARRL VE's use premade tapes

and have about five different tapes they use in the exams. How do you think they got all those nice little multiple guess grading templates? Maybe the 1A-C exam QSO's should be available too! (this was a joke, sorry if someone missed it)

: And a license earned through achievement has proven time and time again
: to have more value than one acquired through memorization of a "pool."

Why is remembering what a series of dits and dahs means more of an achievement than understanding RF theory? Are all amateurs that earned their licenses before the VE program better amateurs who value their license more than those who took exams later? After all they couldn't memorize the answers. Fortunately, the value one provides to the community is not measured by the same yardstick that is used to measure the value of a license to an amateur.

BTW:

I worked comms for the Davis Double Century Bike Ride, Saturday (5/15/93) from 5am until 11:30pm. It was great fun and we had no fatalities, except a deer and the front fender of N6VTC's Escort on the way down the mountain. He was up there providing a link to a secluded valley in the course.

:

: I rest my case.

Your case rests, in peace. ;-)

Dan

--

```
*-----*
* Daniel D. Todd      Packet: KC6UUD@WA6RDH.#nocal.ca.usa      *
*                      Internet: DDTODD@ucdavis.edu             *
*                      Snail Mail: 1750 Hanover #102            *
*                      Davis CA 95616                          *
*-----*
*      I do not speak for the University of California....    *
*      and it sure as hell doesn't speak for me!!            *
*-----*
```

Date: Sun, 16 May 1993 21:43:31 GMT
From: anomaly.sbs.com!wr1r@uunet.uu.net
Subject: No-Code Stupidity
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I'm pretty new to this whole bunch of discussion about code versus no-code.

You people should get a life, learning code has been around for a long time and if I had to learn it to 20 words per minute then you're going to have to learn it to 20 words too.

I also support beefing up the theory to keep the CB transplants out of the bands (you know, the ones with their callsigns on their belt buckles and the ones who ground their equipment to a gas pipe).

Flame away -- I love hatemail.

73 de WR1R
Frank

wr1r@anomaly.sbs.risc.net
:

Date: Mon, 17 May 1993 01:19:28 GMT
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!agate!news.ucdavis.edu!othello.ucdavis.edu!
ez006683@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: No-Code Stupidity
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

wr1r@anomaly.sbs.com (Frank Almeida (WR1R)) writes:
: I'm pretty new to this whole bunch of discussion about code versus no-code.
: You people should get a life, learning code has been around for a long
: time and if I had to learn it to 20 words per minute then you're going
: to have to learn it to 20 words too.
:
: I also support beefing up the theory to keep the CB transplants out
: of the bands (you know, the ones with their callsigns on their belt buckles and
the ones who ground their equipment to a gas pipe).
:
: Flame away -- I love hatemail.
:
: 73 de WR1R
: Frank
:
: wr1r@anomaly.sbs.risc.net
: :
WOW WOW WOW!!!

On behalf of all those who would like to see some change in the current
licensing format. THANK YOU! THANK YOU! THANK YOU!

This is really TONY isn't it ;-)

Dan

--

```
*-----*
* Daniel D. Todd      Packet: KC6UUD@WA6RDH.#nocal.ca.usa      *
*                      Internet: DDTODD@ucdavis.edu             *
*                      Snail Mail: 1750 Hanover #102            *
*                      Davis CA 95616                          *
*-----*
*      I do not speak for the University of California....    *
*      and it sure as hell doesn't speak for me!!            *
*-----*
```

Date: Sat, 15 May 1993 21:07:09 +0000
From: pipex!bnr.co.uk!demon!llondel.demon.co.uk!dave@uunet.uu.net
Subject: uk.radio.amateur newsgroup?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <pschleck.737480632@cwis> pschleck@cwis.unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU) writes:

> In light of limited traffic, would it be
> desirable to make it uk.radio instead, and encompass shortwave
> listening?

I specified 'amateur radio' to distinguish it from broadcast radio....
I would think most SWLs would spot it and at least look in to see what was
going on.

Dave

```
@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
@ G4WRW @ GB7WRW.#41.GBR.EU AX25 @ You think *you* have problems? @
@ dave@llondel.demon.co.uk Internet @ What do you do if you *are* @
@ g4wrw@g4wrw.ampr.org Amprnet @ a paranoid android?? @
@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
```

Date: Sun, 16 May 93 10:53:07 PDT
From: sdd.hp.com!caen!nigel.msen.com!fmsrl7!destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!mala.bc.ca!oneb!
ham!emd@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: uk.radio.amateur newsgroup?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

dave@llondel.demon.co.uk (David Hough) writes:

> I have posted this to a few uk-only groups but as some affected people don't

> get those groups but might be on here, I will put it here as well:
>
> Is anyone interested in a uk.radio.amateur newsgroup? The rec groups are
> mainly biased towards North America, although frequented by amateurs from
> the rest of the world as well. The new group would be for UK-based issues,
> as well as providing a good place for non-UK amateurs to ask any questions
> they might have about our system.
>
> As an aside, it is worth noting that there are some aus.radio groups, and
> a few for other countries as well so the idea isn't new :-)
>
> Dave
>

Actually, Dave, I'd vastly prefer to see more non - North Americans on
all the rec-radio newsgroups. It sure won't happen, though if you split
off the UK amateurs.

73, Bob.

Robert Smits	There is *no* idiotproof filter.
VE7EMD	Idiots are proof against anything!
Ladysmith B.C.	- Richard Chycoski, VE7CVS
e-mail: emd@ham.almanac.bc.ca	

Date: Sun, 16 May 1993 15:45:00 GMT
From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!newsserver.jvnc.net!yale.edu!nigel.msen.com!
fmsrl7!destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!alberta!adec23!ve6mgs!rec-radio-info@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Welcome to rec.radio.info!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Archive-name: radio/rec-radio-info/welcome
Last-modified: \$Date: 1993/05/03 10:36 \$
Version: \$Revision: 1.04 \$

*** Welcome to rec.radio.info! ***

Welcome to rec.radio.info, a group that aims to provide a noise-free source
of information and news for the entire rec.radio hierarchy.

Two introductory articles about rec.radio.info are posted to the group and
to news.answers every two weeks. You are now reading the first article, which
explains what rec.radio.info is, and answers some Frequently Asked Questions.
The second article is titled "Submission Guidelines", and you only need to
read it if you want to submit an article to rec.radio.info.

You can skip to the next section of this article by searching for the next

" -- " string. The sections available are:

- What is the purpose of rec.radio.info?
- Why are messages almost always cross posted to rec.radio.info?
- What is a 'follow-up', and what does 'moderated' mean?
- OK, so now I know what 'moderated' means. Tell me more.
- What type of material is considered inappropriate?
- I do not have access to news, how can I get the information posted to rec.radio.info?
- Will the material appearing in rec.radio.info be archived somewhere?
- I have a regular posting with timely information, is there a way to speed up it's delivery, or automate for more convenience?

-- What is the purpose of rec.radio.info?

The purpose or charter of rec.radio.info is to provide the Usenet community with a resource for information, news, and facts about any and all things radio.

All the other rec.radio groups are intended for discussions and general chit chat about radio. Rec.radio.info will contain informational, factual articles only. Follow-ups are redirected to an appropriate other group, and further discussion (if any) will not take place in rec.radio.info.

In order to ensure that rec.radio.info contains only appropriate articles, it was decided to create the group as a moderated newsgroup.

-- Why are messages almost always cross posted to rec.radio.info?

It provides a "tag" for each article to be assembled into a filtered presentation in rec.radio.info (even with cross-posting, only one message, with a unique Message-ID, is propagated across the net). This tag also facilitates a pre-existing method of dropping or cancelling the articles locally within the discussion groups if you don't want to see them. This accommodates individuals who want to separate the bulletins from the discussions, discussions from the bulletins, as well as those who are adamant about not reading another newsgroup and wanted to see everything all in one basket.

With the total size of Usenet (in number of newsgroups and total traffic) doubling every year or so, this is no insignificant contribution to reducing information noise and chaos. Making the discussion groups a catch-all, and making extra newsgroups filters on that catch-all, is also the most realistic way to implement such a scheme (It's not intuitively obvious what the charter, contents, and general appropriate topics for each and every newsgroup are. Seeing FAQ's and charter/intro postings in the home newsgroup is beneficial for new readers).

By cross-posting one only is adding a few tens of bytes to each bulletin (to

specify the extra group on the Newsgroups line), but are adding the capability for very powerful filtering features available on most news servers, listservers and readers. Your local news guru could probably explain these features in more detail.

In rn, for example, according to Leanne Phillips in her rn kill-file FAQ, add a line of the form:

```
/Newsgroups:.*[ ,]rec\.radio\.info/h:j
```

either in ~/News/KILL (if you don't want to see rec.radio.info articles anywhere) or ~/News/rec/radio/amateur/misc/KILL (if you don't want to see them just in rec.radio.amateur.misc). The latter method means your kill file will only be consulted during rec.radio.amateur.misc (and hence runs more efficiently), and will probably work for most people.

In nn, according to Bill Wohler in his nn FAQ, add a line of the form:

```
rec.radio.info:!s/^\
```

in ~/.nn/kill (if you don't want to see rec.radio.info articles anywhere), or put the following lines:

```
sequence
```

```
rec.radio.info
```

```
rec.radio.
```

at the end of ~/.nn/init in order to see all the rec.radio.info bulletins first, then read the remaining rec.radio.* without the bulletins.

-- What is a 'follow-up', and what does 'moderated' mean?

If you are new to Usenet and are not familiar with the terminology, you might want to read the general introductory articles found in the newsgroup news.announce.newusers. Doing so will make your life on the net much easier, and will probably save you from making silly beginner's mistakes.

If you think that at this moment you are reading an echo, a conference, or a bulletin board, I'd also strongly suggest a trip over to news.announce.newusers.

For the rest of this article, I will assume you have a basic knowledge of Usenet terminology and mechanics.

A moderated group means that any article that needs to be posted to the group has to be accepted by the moderator of the group. Since we need to ensure that followups to an article (discussion) do not show up in the rec.radio.info newsgroup, the 'Followup-To:' header line contains a newsgroup that is appropriate for discussions about the specific article.

-- OK, so now I know what 'moderated' means. Tell me more.

Rec.radio.info is a moderated newsgroup, which means that all articles submitted to the group will have to be approved by the moderator first.

The current moderator of the group is Mark Salyzyn. Submissions to rec.radio.info can be posted, or e-mailed to:

rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca

Comments, criticisms, suggestions or questions about the group can be e-mailed to:

rec-radio-request@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca

But before you do so, please be sure to check out the "Submission Guidelines" article.

The influence of the moderator should be minimal and of an administrative nature, consisting chiefly of weeding out obviously inappropriate articles, while making sure correct headers etc. are used for the appropriate ones.

-- What type of material is considered inappropriate?

There are three broad categories of articles which will be rejected by the moderator:

- 1) Requests for information: rec.radio.info is strictly a one-way street. I receive information in my mailbox; I then post it to rec.radio.info. Requests for specific information belong in the normal discussion newsgroups. If your request gets answered, you might consider passing the answer on to rec.radio.info, though. Especially if you can edit it into a informational, rather than a discussion, format.
- 2) Obvious discussion articles, or articles that appear unsubstantiated.
- 3) Commercial stuff: a relatively unbiased test of a radio product would be accepted, but any hint of for-profit might be reason for rejection. For three reasons: This is not the purpose of the list, for-profit is a controversial topic, and this list may be passed onto Amateur Packet Radio (where for-profit is prohibited except under certain provisos).

rec.radio.swap may be more deserving of the posting in any matter.

Similarly, copyrighted material generally cannot be used. If it's TRULY worthwhile to the net, I would recommend obtaining permission from the copyright holder. Please note the source, and if permission was given. I reserve the right to make the final decision concerning appropriateness in all situations. In most cases, a brief summary of, or pointer to, the copyrighted information may be all I can allow.

-- I do not have access to news, how can I get the information posted to rec.radio.info?

brian@UCSD.EDU (Brian Kantor) has kindly supplied a mail list server for rec.radio.info. Non of the articles will be digested, due to their size, so you will receive individual mailings for every article posted to the group.

Mail sent to radio-info@ucsd.edu will be forwarded to the moderator and thus is an alias to rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca

To subscribe and unsubscribe via the listserver; the format for that is

```
sub address radio-info
unsub address radio-info
```

where 'address' is your full mailing address. Send this request to

```
listserv@ucsd.edu
```

Note that the server will automatically delete any address that bounces mail. If you leave the address portion blank, it will try to deduce your address from the mail headers. This may not work if you are on bitnet, milnet or some other non-Unix host, so it is recommended to put your return address in any case. For example:

```
sub mymailbox@myhost.mydomain.mil radio-info
or
sub MEMEME01@DMBHST.bitnet radio-info
```

or something like that.

-- Will the material appearing in rec.radio.info be archived somewhere?

Yes. Still firming up details at the moment but here is a preliminary list:

- unbc.edu as maintained by Lyndon Nerenberg <lyndon@unbc.edu>
- nic.funet.fi maintained by Risto Kotlampi <rko@cs.tut.fi>
saved to /pub/dx/text/rec.radio.info currently stored as
numbered files.

Effectively this means that anything you post to rec.radio.info will be permanently stored, so your work will not be lost.

-- I have a regular posting with timely information, is there a way to speed up it's delivery, or automate for more convenience?

Yes, there is! It may take a bit of chatter with the moderator, but we are willing to take responsible people and provide them the means of posting the articles directly from their site. We will try everything we can as we fully realize that DX (distant signal) and astronomical data can be somewhat transitory. We are also willing to allow regular posters of information the

same courtesy, even if the information is not as time critical.

We refer to this as self-moderation, which is partly based on the model for news.answer. This requires co-operation and good will to be beneficial to the community in the rec.radio hierarchy.

I suggest reading the posting guidelines for more information. I am open to suggestions.

I thank the following individuals for their input into this article:

rec.music.info moderator Leo Breebaart rec-music-info@cp.tn.tudelft.nl
rec.radio.broadcasting moderator Bill Pfeiffer wdp@gagme.chi.il.us
Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU pschleck@unomaha.edu
Ian Klufft, KD6EUI iklufft@uts.amdahl.com

--

Mark Salyzyn -- Moderator rec.radio.info
Submissions to: rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca
Administrivia to: rec-radio-request@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca
* Requests for information do *not* belong in rec.radio.info *

Date: Sun, 16 May 93 18:11:24 GMT
From: csus.edu!netcom.com!netcomsv!orchard.la.locus.com!prodnet.la.locus.com!
spica.la.locus.com!dana@decwrl.dec.com
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993May12.174742.28980@leland.Stanford.EDU>, <C6xM3H.9z8@ucdavis.edu>,
<1993May14.231949.29392@leland.Stanford.EDU>.la.
Subject : Re: More on no-code

In article <1993May14.231949.29392@leland.Stanford.EDU> paulf@umunhum.stanford.edu
(Paul Flaherty) writes:

>In article <C6xM3H.9z8@ucdavis.edu> ez006683@othello.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd)
writes:

>>Hmmm... I just can't seem to remember seeing that it is the FCC's job to
>>*minimize* use of the amateur bands. Yes, when you say it keeps the bands
>>from becoming overcrowded that does minimize the use. If you can point
>>out where part 97 says that it is the FCC's job to prevent overcrowding
>>the bands please post it.

>

>The "FCC's job" is not defined by 47 CFR 97. The powers and responsibilities
>of the FCC are outline in the enabling Communications Act of 1934. Those
>responsibilities include licensing transmitters, and spectrum coordination to
>prevent interference. Yes, that means they can limit the number of stations.

>

C'mon Paul, the discussion here is with respect to Amateur radio. 47 CFR part 97, specifically 97.1, explains the basis and purpose of the Amateur Radio Service. 97.1 makes no reference to minimizing the use of the spectrum allocated to the Amateur Radi Service.

--

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ | Views expressed here are *
* (310) 337-5136 | mine and do not necessarily *
* dana@locus.com DoD #466 | reflect those of my employer
*
* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

Date: Sat, 15 May 93 23:23:39 GMT
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!jmaynard@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <C6xALy.1MJ@ucdavis.edu>, <93133.135221WKOEHLER@ESOC.BITNET>,
<1993May15.061042.151224@locus.com>
Subject : Re: Should auto mechanics learn how to shoe horses?

In article <1993May15.061042.151224@locus.com> dana@lando.la.locus.com (Dana H. Myers) writes:
>Would you like to speculate what ham radio will be when the lawmakers
>figure out we're stuck in 1930?

Just because I support a code requirement does not mean I'm stuck in 1930. I just built my own instantiation of a micro-based repeater controller, to be put on a repeater in a linked system (even if it IS one of those nasty, eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeevil closed repeaters); there's a 386DX-25 sitting here, running KA9Q and serving as the nameserver for the Houston area, as well as gateway to my shack Ethernet; after the repeater, the next project is a full-duplex portable radio (to be used on that nasty, eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeevil closed repeater system); and I'm still involved in band planning and frequency coordination. I challenge you to name one of those that was part of ham radio in 1930.

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.
"The new facility will have educational and subsistence abuse programs." --
KTRH Radio, reporting on a new prison

Date: Sat, 15 May 93 14:03:52 GMT

From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!csus.edu!netcom.com!netcomsv!
orchard.la.locus.com!prodnet.la.locus.com!spica.la.locus.com!dana@ames.arpa
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <930513.021333.5C3.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>,
<1993May13.173845.11612@nntpd2.cxo.dec.com>,
<1993May13.180733.21940@rsg1.er.usgs.gov>.la.locu
Subject : Re: what is the issue here? (WAS: no-code defense)

In article <1993May13.180733.21940@rsg1.er.usgs.gov> tbodoh@resdgs1.er.usgs.gov
(Tom Bodoh) writes:

>In article <1993May13.173845.11612@nntpd2.cxo.dec.com>,
little@nuts2u.enet.dec.com (nuts2u::little) writes:

>|>

>|> By the way, I can't *wait* to see your "NPRM". I hadn't realized the FCC
>|> had relocated its offices to Rhode Island and started using the sbs nodes
>|> for their internet access.

>|>

>|> 73,

>|> Todd

>|> N9MWB

>

>--

>The question just begging to be asked is - what does SBS do? Is the company
>ham related or what? I've seen a lot of CONTROVERSIAL (to be kind) posts
>coming from there and one starts to wonder what type of business this is.
>

Same here. I'm starting to think it is some kind of committee effort
to post a bunch of flaming, half-witted drivel to the amateur newsgroups,
and I now generally anything from SBS. I read it, laugh, then kill it.

--

* Dana H. Myers KK6JQ | Views expressed here are *
* (310) 337-5136 | mine and do not necessarily *
* dana@locus.com DoD #466 | reflect those of my employer
*
* This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *

Date: Sat, 15 May 93 15:28:54 GMT

From: agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!nntp.Stanford.EDU!umunhum!paulf@ames.arpa

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <C6xALy.1MJ@ucdavis.edu>, <93133.135221WKOEHLER@ESOC.BITNET>,

<1993May15.061042.151224@locus.com>paulf

Subject : Re: Should auto mechanics learn how to shoe horses?

In article <1993May15.061042.151224@locus.com> dana@lando.la.locus.com (Dana H. Myers) writes:

>Well, I worked for my ham license, and now I'm working to overcome mindsets
>which threatean to keep to keep the amateur service from staying abreast
>of modern developments.

Please elaborate. How does the current CW requirement keep the amateur
service from "keeping abreast of modern developments"?

--

-=Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "Just name a hero, and I'll prove he's a bum."

->paulf@Stanford.EDU | -- Col. Gregory "Pappy" Boyington, USMC (ret)

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #146
